

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
09/966,564	09/27/2001	Robert H. Halstead JR.	2682.2018-000 3785	
759	90 08/05/2004	EXAMINER AMINI, JAVID A		
James M. Smit				
HAMILTON, B Two Militia Dri	ROOK, SMITH & REY ve	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Lexington, MA		2672 DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004		
	•			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No	Applicant(s)	 			
·								
Office Action Summary		09/966,56	4	HALSTEAD, ROBERT H.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Javid A Ar		2672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr operiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. s0) days, a reply within the statuatutory period will apply and with the statuature of the apply and with the statuature of the apply and with will, by statute, cause the apply and with the apply and with the apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and will apply apply and will apply apply and will apply appl	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
2a)□								
3)□								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers	·						
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>September 27, 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		• , ,	., .,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National S	tage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	, ,							
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			atent Application (PTO-	152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/01/2002</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/966,564

Art Unit: 2672

Application/Control Number: 09/966,564 Page 2

Page 2

Art Unit: 2672

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman, 11* F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi,* 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re van Ornum,* 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel,* 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington,* 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (c) maybe used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-48 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being; unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,667,750 Bl. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Applicant in independent claims in the present application discloses that "subtracting the second size preference from the first size preference, resulting in a resultant size preference dependent on the size preferences of the graphical objects". And also Applicant on page 3, lines 14-16 discloses that "various operation can be applied to the graphical objects, such as the add, max, and divide operations described in U.S. patent application serial no. 09/364,470"

(i.e. The 6,667,750 B 1). A person skilled in the art will comprehend that there is no subtraction operation in referred application serial no. 09/364,470. Contrary, Applicant in the U.S. Patent No. 6,667,750 B1 in col. 6, line 25 disclosed that other operators, such as "subtract," could also be envisioned. Therefore, the following underlined from claim 1 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,667,750 B1 could be considered as a subtract operation.

Portion of the claim 1 consists of "computing size values of the graphical objects along the second dimension" Claims 3, 15, 27 and 39 are rejected as being obvious to a person skilled in the art to comprehend the size of the resultant size has a min. value of zero.

1.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,564

Art Unit: 2672

Page 3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javid A Amini whose telephone number is 703-605-4248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on 703-305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from.
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you
have questions on access to the Private PAIR. system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at
866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit 2672

Javid Amini

JEFFERY BRIEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

John a. Brier